

UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/919,501	08/28/97	O'GORMAN		s	SALK2190	
_	HM12/0320	\neg	· .	EXAMINER		
STEPHEN E RE	MM127 0020		WILSON	LSON, M		
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH			·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBE	
BUITE 1600 4365 EXECUTI				1633	16	
SAN DIEGO CA 92121				DATE MAILED: 03/20/00		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/919,501

O'Gorman et al

Examiner

Wilson, Michael C.

Group Art Unit 1633



THE	E PERIOD	FOR RESPONS	SE: (check only a) or b)]]					
	a) 🗌 exp	pires	_ months from the mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.				
	is la	oires either three ater. In no even action.	e months from the mailing c nt, however, will the statute	date of the final rejection ory period for the respo	n, or on the mailin nse expire later the	g date of this Advisory an six months from the	Action, whichever address of the final		
1	date on whi	ich the response	t be obtained by filing a pet e, the petition, and the fee xtension and the correspon the originally set shortened	have been filed is the d ding amount of the fee	ate of the response . Any extension fe	e and also the date for se pursuant to 37 CFR	the purposes of		
X	Appellant' period for	s Brief is due response set	two months from the c	date of the Notice or r is later). See 37 (f Appeal filed on FR 1.191(d) and	Mar 10, 2000 d 37 CFR 1.192(a)	(or within any		
Ap _l	plicant's re	esponse to the eemed to plac	e final rejection, filed or ce the application in cor	n <u>Mar 10, 2000</u> ndition for allowance	has been con o:	sidered with the fo	llowing effect,		
X	The propo	sed amendme	ent(s):						
	• •		n filing of a Notice of A	ppeal and an Appea	ıl Brief.				
	X will no	ot be entered	because:				•		
	🛚 the	ey raise new is	ssues that would requir	e further considerat	ion and/or searc	h. (See note belov	v).		
	☐ the	ey raise the iss	sue of new matter. (Se	ee note below).					
		ey are not dee ues for appeal	emed to place the applical.	cation in better form	for appeal by n	naterially reducing (or simplifying the		
	☐ the	ey present add	ditional claims without o	cancelling a corresp	onding number o	of finally rejected cl	aims.		
	NOTE:	Proposed cl	laim 12 requires that th	e construct is in the	genome of the	cell and claims 18-	20 require a		
		combination	n of promoters and reco	ombinase which we	re not previously	required.			
				<u></u>					
	Newly pr	oposed or am , timely filed a	nended claims amendment cancelling t	he non-allowable cl		ould be allowable i	f submitted in a		
X			or request for reconside	ration has been con	sidered but does	NOT place the app	olication in condition		
	Applican	ance because ts arguements	s are based on the prop	osed amendment to	the claims. Ap	pplicants have not p	provided new		
			<u>re, the claims remain re</u>						
	The affid the Exam	lavit or exhibit niner in the fin	t will NOT be considere nal rejection.	d because it is not o	directed SOLELY	to issues which w	ere newly raised by		
X	For purp	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
	Claims a	llowed:							
			4-10, 12-26, and 28-4						
	The prop	osed drawing	correction filed on		□has □has	not been approved	by the Examiner.		
	Note the	attached Info	ormation Disclosure Sta	tement(s), PTO-144	9, Paper No(s).		\sim		
X	Other 1	12/2nd rejectio	on and 103 rejections.						
						JOHN L. LeGH			
					9	LIPERVISORY PATEN	it examiner		